



# **COP26 Climate Conference in Glasgow – Key Takeaways and Potential Investment Implications**

By Emily M. Berger, CFA, Director of Investment Risk Advisory

As experienced investors know, key geopolitical and macroeconomic events are important to understand in calibrating the investment risk strategy for their investment portfolios. The recent 26<sup>TH</sup> Conference of the Parties (COP26) led to material agreements between the participating nations across various different work streams for the ultimate goal of working together to reduce global warming to 1.5C degrees through the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. The following article seeks to distill the key takeaways of COP26 and to contemplate the potential investment implications of the developments and agreements reached by COP26 participating government officials, corporate executives, and financial leaders during the event.

### **Key Takeaways**

- The narrative has turned to transition pathways and providing companies with reasonable latitude to craft their carbon transition plans. During the next 12-18 months, public companies will be formulating their carbon transition plans with public disclosure likely to follow shortly afterward. The first objective for companies will be carbon mitigation after which companies will provide disclosure of their plans for offsetting emissions as a path toward reducing their carbon footprint toward their net zero aspirations. Companies will consider their supply chain in this evaluation as well as their ecosystem of stakeholders (such as employees, customers, shareholders). However, emphasis was placed on the idea that this is not a flip-the-switch initiative and important for companies to be thoughtful in their analysis and planning in order to mitigate systematic risk.
- Banks are including climate risk criteria in their credit policies for loan underwriting and will
  partner with companies to ensure a smooth transition. It's still too early to determine the exact
  nature of these updated underwriting standards as they will be partially based on regulatory
  changes still being formulated. Banks will also be involved in providing capital market solutions,
  such as foreign exchange hedging facilities and working in partnership to create a functional
  carbon market.
- The International Financial Reporting Standards Foundation (IFRS) announced the formation of
  the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB), an investor-led sustainability board
  tasked with leading the development of standardized sustainability disclosure requirements to
  meet investors' information needs. This was developed in response to the call by international
  investors for high quality, transparent, reliable and comparable reporting by companies on climate
  and other environmental, social, and governance (ESG) metrics.
- Consolidation of the Climate Disclosure Standards Board (CDSB) and the Value Reporting Foundation (VRF) by June 2022. This is a further consolidation and alignment of standards to help the consistency in the industry.
- Progress on Article 6, which relates to the formation and functioning of a carbon market to facilitate carbon price discovery and the trading of carbon credits. The idea is that a discoverable price for carbon, particularly one above \$100, will help to incentivize industry participants into lowering their emissions. California currently has an active "cap-and-trade" system in which the trading of carbon credits themselves occurs between companies but are authorized and regulated by the state. Each company is provided a maximum carbon pollution allowance, which is denominated in metric tons. This topic will be the subject of another article, once further details are released.

- Publication of prototype climate and general disclosure requirements by Technical Readiness Working Group representatives from the following groups: CDSB, International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), Task Force for Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), World Economic Forum (WEF), and the International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO).
- Discussion around the valuation of nature-based resources. The main takeaway is that natural resources are a scarce asset and should be valued accordingly. There was significant discussion about protecting the rights of indigenous populations who inhabit natural resources.
- Agreement by nearly 200 countries on a climate deal and net zero timelines despite surprising
  last minute changes insisted by both India and China to weaken the language on coal and fossil
  fuels. A compromise was reached on a pledge to phase "down" rather than phase "out" coal,
  leaving many vulnerable countries and small island states very unhappy with the change. More
  than 100 countries, including the U.S. made a "Methane Pledge" to cut emissions of methane, a
  potent warming gas.

## Where Might This Lead? Implications for Investment Portfolios

- There was significant discussion at COP26 regarding the need for capital to flow to developing and emerging market countries which continue to suffer significantly from the effects of climate change and lack the resources to handle the challenges.
  - Increased investment in emerging market countries which will strengthen their currency
    as capital flows in and to the extent their currencies are pegged to the U.S. dollar,
    strengthen the U.S. dollar. Many in the institutional investment community regard
    emerging markets investments as a leveraged play on the U.S. dollar.
- Positive revaluation of assets previously considered illiquid and written down, such as certain natural assets. This could represent a new valuation mosaic which considers certain commodities a scarce resource which must be allocated in a careful manner.
- Significant marketing initiatives across investment advisors regarding the importance of ESG investing and widely available ESG data sets. Investors may not realize that there is significant inconsistency in the data as the current governing approaches for quantifying a company's carbon footprint is subject to interpretation regarding the appropriate standards to apply. Until the previously mentioned convergence of carbon measurement standards and quantification approaches occur, it will be important for institutional investors to scale their knowledge quickly in order to fulfill their fiduciary duty to their organizations. As experienced investors know, metrification without experienced calibration can lead to false assumptions and investment mistakes that can otherwise be avoided.
- Carbon taxes or incentives to encourage desired economic behavior as it relates to carbon
  mitigation. This is shifting of the deck chairs. The issue that could result is a revaluation of
  commercial or residential real estate, which would have serious consequences that could
  resonate through the local, regional, and national economies if not handled with care and in a fair
  and transitional manner.
- Noise regarding greenwashing through transactions from public entities which will be pushed to disclose their carbon emissions from a regulatory perspective to private entities or countries where these disclosures are not mandated.
  - Required knowledge for investors to understand the implications of carbon valuation on portfolios, beyond the obvious candidates impacted by climate change, such as real asset investments in private energy and real estate.

#### **Contact Us**

We continue to monitor the potential investment risk implications of the quickly evolving climate risk and carbon measurement disclosures required by global regulatory entities. This article is the first of a series to be published in the upcoming months.

If you have any questions about this article, please contact your engagement partner or:

Emily M. Berger, CFA
Director of Investment Risk Advisory
<a href="mailto:eberger@pkfod.com">eberger@pkfod.com</a>

### www.pkfod.com

#### **About PKF O'Connor Davies**

PKF O'Connor Davies, LLP is a full-service certified public accounting and advisory firm with a long history of serving clients both domestically and internationally. With roots tracing to 1891, the Firm has 14 offices in New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Maryland, Florida, and Rhode Island and more than 1,000 professionals providing a complete range of accounting, auditing, tax, and management advisory services. PKF O'Connor Davies is led by over 100 partners who are closely involved in the day-to-day management of engagements, ensuring a high degree of client service and cost effectiveness.

The Firm is a top-ranked firm, according to Accounting Today's 2021 "Top 100 Firms" list and was recently recognized as one of "America's Best Tax Firms" by Forbes. PKF O'Connor Davies was named one of Vault's 2021 Accounting 50, a ranking of the 50 best accounting employers to work for in North America and ranked among the top 50 most prestigious accounting firms in America in a complementary Vault survey.

PKF O'Connor Davies is the lead North American representative of the international association of PKF member firms. PKF International is a network of legally independent member firms providing accounting, tax, and business advisory services in over 400 locations in 150 countries around the world. With its tradition, experience, and focus on the future, PKF O'Connor Davies is ready to help clients meet today's ever-changing economic conditions and manage the growing complexities of the regulatory environment

Our Firm provides the information in this e-newsletter for general guidance only, and it does not constitute the provision of legal advice, tax advice, accounting services, or professional consulting of any kind.