

21<sup>st</sup> Annual

# Engineering Study

**KNOW**  
**GREATER**  
**VALUE<sup>®</sup>**





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	2
Key Takeaways	3
Time Statistics	4
Historical Trend Analysis	5
Trend Analysis of Hours	6
Comparative Statistics	7
Other Statistics	10
IT Statistics	15
AI Trends	17
Common Size Income Statements	19
Overhead Rates Per Direct Hour	20
Components of the Overhead Rate	22
Balance Sheet Ratios	24
Our A&E Team	27
Summit Highlights	28
Our Architecture & Engineering Practice	29

Cover photos "Data Center, Bluffdale, UT." Printed with permission from AG&E.

© 2025 PKF O'Connor Davies. All Rights Reserved.

## A Message to Our Architecture and Engineering Community

We're pleased to present the 21st annual Architectural and Engineering Studies.

Based on a survey of participants, our 2025 Studies offer a comprehensive industry snapshot. Featuring multi-year trends and key takeaways throughout, these Studies provide the perspective you need to benchmark your firm and plan strategically for future growth and success.

This year's benchmarking data features a thorough analysis of key performance indicators (KPIs) crucial to your business (e.g., utilization rates, billing multiples, overhead costs and working capital ratios). Additionally, we've introduced new insights into emerging artificial intelligence (AI) trends shaping the industry.

We extend our sincere thanks to all who participated. Your insights and experiences are invaluable and we appreciate your willingness to share them with your industry peers. We value the trusted relationships we've built with clients and industry experts and look forward to supporting your future success.

We welcome your feedback for future Studies and invite you to connect with us directly. In the meantime, be on the lookout for a **Save the Date** for the June 2026 **Architectural and Engineering Summit** in **Boston, MA**. We look forward to seeing you there!



**David M. Sullivan, Jr., CPA**  
Partner and Leader, A&E Practice  
781.937.5351  
dmsullivan@pkfod.com



**Michelle M. Downing, CPA, MST**  
Director, A&E Practice  
781.937.5357  
mdowning@pkfod.com

## Key Takeaways

Our analysis of this year's data revealed several key takeaways for the engineering industry.

- Both utilization and profit as a percentage of net fee income continued to fall in 2024, reaching their lowest levels in the past five years.
- The direct labor billing multiple continued to increase, marking the second highest level in study history at 3.26 in 2024.
- The breakeven multiple and overhead rate continued to trend upward in 2024. Firms should monitor their overhead structure to achieve maximum profitability.
- In 2024, both staff bonuses and raises as a percentage of base compensation continued to decline, averaging 7.3% and 5.5%, respectively.
- The average collection period for accounts receivable has been increasing since 2022, reaching 80 days in 2024.




# Time Statistics

	Study Average	Range of Studied Firms	
		High	Low
<b>Ratios based on labor dollars</b>			
Direct ratio (utilization)	57.8%	71.6%	49.7%
Total labor billing multiple	1.94	2.77	1.61
Direct labor billing multiple	3.26	3.98	2.48
Breakeven multiple *	2.78	3.43	1.98
Overhead rate *	178.6%	243.1%	97.8%
<b>Ratios based on hours</b>			
Direct ratio (utilization)	62.0%	68.8%	45.2%
Billing rate per direct hour	\$162.47	\$220.52	\$131.09
Overhead rate per direct hour	\$86.92	\$150.25	\$50.48
<b>Employees' average hourly rates</b>			
Direct labor rate	\$51.63	\$67.97	\$43.25
Indirect labor rate	\$58.06	\$64.29	\$42.28
Combined labor rate	\$54.60	\$61.90	\$48.30
<b>Calculation of profit per direct hour</b>			
Billing rate per direct hour	\$162.47		
Direct labor rate	\$(51.63)		
Overhead rate per direct hour	\$(86.92)		
Profit per direct hour	<u>\$23.92</u>	<u>\$49.15</u>	<u>\$2.30</u>
<b>Profitability ratios</b>			
Profit as a % of net fee income **	14.7%	28.8%	1.0%
Net fee income per employee	\$202,655	\$255,762	\$176,774

\* Breakeven multiple and overhead rate include staff bonuses.


\*\* Profit as a % of net fee income is calculated before bonuses to principal owners.



# Historical Trend Analysis

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>Ratios based on labor dollars</b>					
Direct ratio (utilization)	61.2%	60.1%	59.3%	58.5%	57.8%
Total labor billing multiple	1.89	1.85	1.91	1.92	1.94
Direct labor billing multiple	3.10	3.13	3.20	3.23	3.26
Breakeven multiple	2.65	2.70	2.73	2.75	2.78
Overhead rate	165.0%	170.0%	173.3%	175.3%	178.6%
<b>Ratios based on hours</b>					
Direct ratio (utilization)	64.7%	63.2%	63.1%	62.4%	62.0%
Billing rate per direct hour	\$138.54	\$144.13	\$154.58	\$159.25	\$162.47
Overhead rate per direct hour	\$70.44	\$74.40	\$81.22	\$82.85	\$86.92
<b>Employees' average hourly rates</b>					
Direct labor rate	\$46.48	\$48.24	\$49.96	\$51.37	\$51.63
Indirect labor rate	\$51.52	\$52.42	\$53.25	\$55.89	\$58.06
Combined labor rate	\$47.86	\$49.57	\$51.09	\$52.99	\$54.60
<b>Calculation of profit per direct hour</b>					
Billing rate per direct hour	\$138.54	\$144.13	\$154.58	\$159.25	\$162.47
Direct labor rate	\$(46.48)	\$(48.24)	\$(49.96)	\$(51.37)	\$(51.63)
Overhead rate per direct hour	<u>\$(70.44)</u>	<u>\$(74.40)</u>	<u>\$(81.22)</u>	<u>\$(82.85)</u>	<u>\$(86.92)</u>
Profit per direct hour	<u>\$21.62</u>	<u>\$21.49</u>	<u>\$23.40</u>	<u>\$25.03</u>	<u>\$23.92</u>
<b>Profitability ratios</b>					
Profit as a % of net fee income	15.6%	14.9%	15.1%	15.7%	14.7%

## Net Fee Income Per Employee



## Key Takeaway

Over the past year, firms have raised their billing rates per direct hour by an average of 2%. Despite this increase, profits have declined, as rising business costs have outpaced the gains from higher billing rates.

# Trend Analysis of Hours

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>Total firm</b>					
Direct hours	<u>64.7%</u>	<u>63.2%</u>	<u>63.1%</u>	<u>62.4%</u>	<u>62.0%</u>
Indirect hours:					
Holiday, vacation, sick	10.1%	11.7%	11.8%	11.5%	11.2%
Marketing	6.4%	6.5%	5.4%	5.0%	3.8%
Other	<u>18.8%</u>	<u>18.6%</u>	<u>19.7%</u>	<u>21.1%</u>	<u>23.0%</u>
	<u>35.3%</u>	<u>36.8%</u>	<u>36.9%</u>	<u>37.6%</u>	<u>38.0%</u>
Total	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
<b>Principals</b>					
Direct hours	<u>50.5%</u>	<u>49.0%</u>	<u>46.4%</u>	<u>45.4%</u>	<u>42.7%</u>
Indirect hours:					
Holiday, vacation, sick	10.7%	13.9%	13.7%	13.1%	13.5%
Marketing	10.8%	10.8%	8.3%	9.8%	7.7%
Other	<u>28.0%</u>	<u>26.3%</u>	<u>31.6%</u>	<u>31.7%</u>	<u>36.1%</u>
	<u>49.5%</u>	<u>51.0%</u>	<u>53.6%</u>	<u>54.6%</u>	<u>57.3%</u>
Total	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
<b>Professional staff</b>					
Direct hours	<u>72.0%</u>	<u>71.4%</u>	<u>73.1%</u>	<u>74.1%</u>	<u>75.6%</u>
Indirect hours:					
Holiday, vacation, sick	10.0%	11.4%	11.5%	11.2%	10.8%
Marketing	4.3%	3.1%	2.7%	3.2%	1.6%
Other	<u>13.7%</u>	<u>14.1%</u>	<u>12.7%</u>	<u>11.5%</u>	<u>12.0%</u>
	<u>28.0%</u>	<u>28.6%</u>	<u>26.9%</u>	<u>25.9%</u>	<u>24.4%</u>
Total	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
<b>Support staff</b>					
Direct hours	<u>5.4%</u>	<u>5.0%</u>	<u>5.7%</u>	<u>3.0%</u>	<u>3.6%</u>
Indirect hours:					
Holiday, vacation, sick	9.7%	11.4%	11.4%	11.7%	11.8%
Marketing	9.7%	14.7%	13.2%	14.0%	14.5%
Other	<u>75.2%</u>	<u>68.9%</u>	<u>69.7%</u>	<u>71.3%</u>	<u>70.1%</u>
	<u>94.6%</u>	<u>95.0%</u>	<u>94.3%</u>	<u>97.0%</u>	<u>96.4%</u>
Total	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

## Key Takeaway


Principal utilization continued to decrease and was the lowest in the past five years at 42.7%. The decline mirrors the widening gap between hours and dollars utilization — an indicator of potential top-heaviness. Firms should monitor this trend closely to ensure principal utilization aligns with their overall business strategy.

# Comparative Statistics

## Direct Labor Billing Multiple & Breakeven Multiple

The direct labor billing multiple is calculated by dividing net fee income by direct labor cost. This ratio represents the average amount billed as a multiple of direct labor. The breakeven multiple is calculated by dividing total operating costs (including direct labor) by direct labor. This ratio multiplied by an employee's pay rate is the amount that needs to be billed out to cover their salary and overhead (breakeven). Both ratios are heavily influenced by a firm's direct ratio.

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>Direct labor billing multiple</b>	3.10	3.13	3.20	3.23	3.26
<b>Breakeven multiple</b>	2.65	2.70	2.73	2.75	2.78




# Comparative Statistics (continued)

## Employees' Average Hourly Rates

Direct labor divided by direct hours represents the average wage rate for each direct hour worked. Indirect labor divided by indirect hours represents the average wage rate for each indirect hour worked. Total labor divided by total hours represents the average wage rate for an hour worked.

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>Direct labor rate</b>	\$46.48	\$48.24	\$49.96	\$51.37	\$51.63
<b>Indirect labor rate</b>	\$51.52	\$52.42	\$53.25	\$55.89	\$58.06
<b>Combined labor rate</b>	\$47.86	\$49.57	\$51.09	\$52.99	\$54.60




# Comparative Statistics (continued)

## Direct Ratio (Utilization) & Profit as a % of Net Fee Income

The direct ratio (utilization) represents the percentage of total hours that are chargeable to projects. Maintaining a high percentage here can be the key to a firm's profitability. Profit as a percentage of net fee income represents the ratio of income from operations to net fee income.

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
<b>Direct ratio (hours)</b>	64.7%	63.2%	63.1%	62.4%	62.0%
<b>Profit as a % of net fee income</b>	15.6%	14.9%	15.1%	15.7%	14.7%



## Other Statistics

The following table is presented to show additional firm statistics, including various compensation rates, billing rates and the percentage change in the volume of business.

	2024			2023
	Study Average	Range of Studied Firms		Study Average
		High	Low	
<b>Staffing statistics</b>				
Ratio of shareholders to total employees	21.1%	61.8%	3.1%	24.6%
Staffing turnover ratio	13.2%	30.0%	1.0%	11.7%
Raises as a % of base compensation	5.5%	8.0%	3.5%	5.6%
Staff bonuses as a % of base compensation	7.3%	12.0%	0.0%	8.0%
Average employee tenure (in years)	7.6	13.0	4.0	7.4
<b>Ratio of non-technical employees to total employees</b>				
Administration	3.4%	14.3%	0.4%	3.0%
Finance/accounting	3.4%	7.1%	1.4%	4.4%
Human resources	2.2%	7.1%	1.3%	2.9%
Information technology	2.3%	3.9%	0.0%	2.4%
Marketing	3.7%	10.7%	0.0%	4.6%
<b>Billing rates</b>				
Principals	\$290	\$400	\$200	\$290
Project managers	\$230	\$320	\$150	\$220
Senior engineers	\$210	\$300	\$150	\$200
Engineers	\$170	\$230	\$120	\$160
Technical professionals/draftspersons	\$140	\$210	\$110	\$130
<b>Base salary (before bonuses), professional staff</b>				
Principals	\$224,000	\$270,000	\$151,000	\$214,000
Project managers	\$154,000	\$190,000	\$108,000	\$148,000
Senior engineers	\$124,000	\$179,000	\$102,000	\$120,000
Engineers	\$105,000	\$162,000	\$87,000	\$101,000
Technical professionals/draftspersons	\$87,000	\$126,000	\$65,000	\$82,000

## Other Statistics (continued)


	2024			2023
	Study Average	Range of Studied Firms		Study Average
		High	Low	
<b>Base salary (before bonuses), support staff</b>				
CFO	\$245,000	\$393,000	\$175,000	\$235,000
Marketing director	\$232,000	\$256,000	\$128,000	\$202,000
Human resources director	\$212,000	\$300,000	\$134,000	\$195,000
Controller	\$173,000	\$254,000	\$125,000	\$157,000
Information technology director	\$212,000	\$250,000	\$156,000	\$194,000
<b>Percentage changes from prior year</b>				
Change in total hours	7.1%	24.6%	-3.3%	7.6%
Change in direct hours	6.7%	21.5%	-1.3%	5.6%
Change in gross billings	11.9%	24.0%	-3.6%	13.4%
Change in net fee income	10.1%	22.9%	1.2%	12.2%
Change in total expenses	10.8%	24.6%	-0.4%	11.9%

## Other Statistics (continued)

Presented below is the five-year trend of staff bonuses and raises as a percentage of base compensation.


### Staff Bonuses as a % of Base Compensation

2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
6.3%	9.0%	8.2%	8.0%	7.3%



### Raises as a % of Base Compensation

2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
3.6%	4.4%	6.2%	5.6%	5.5%




### Key Takeaway


Both staff bonuses and raises as a percentage of base compensation have declined in recent years, indicating overall compensation growth is returning to more normalized levels.

## Other Statistics (continued)


**% of Firms Outsourcing Design Work**




**% of Firms Operating in Foreign Countries**





**Accounting Software Used**



**Frequency of Project Budget Review Meetings**




## Other Statistics (continued)




# IT Statistics


**% of Firms Outsourcing IT**




**% of Firms with an IT Budget**



**% of Firms with Cyber Insurance**



**% of Firms with Dedicated Cybersecurity Budget**




## Key Takeaway


All IT statistics remained steady or increased, emphasizing the continued importance of investing in IT and cybersecurity.

## IT Statistics (continued)


**% of Firms with Documented Incident Response Plan**





**% of Firms with a WISP in Accordance with State Laws**



**% of Firms that Perform Simulated Phishing Attacks Against Employees**




**% of Firms that Conduct Periodic Security Awareness Trainings**




# AI Trends


**% of Firms Currently Using AI-based Tools Internally**





**% of Firms Anticipating to Offer AI-based Product/Service Within Five Years**




**% of Firms Anticipating to Use AI-based Tools Internally Within Five Years**



**% of Firms with Internal AI Focus Group or Committee**



## AI Trends (continued)




### Key Takeaway

This year, we asked participants how focused they were on implementing AI, with 1 being the least focused and 10 the most. Based on responses, AI is becoming a meaningful priority, with the majority showing moderate to high commitment.

# Common Size Income Statements

Common size income statements are a valuable means of comparing different size firms. The table shows the income statement based on a percentage of net fee income.


	2024			2023
	Study Average	Range of Studied Firms		Study Average
		High	Low	
Gross fee income	100.0%			100.0%
Direct consultants and reimbursables	<u>16.4%</u>	31.1%	6.5%	<u>15.9%</u>
Net fee income	<u>83.6%</u>	<u>97.1%</u>	<u>68.9%</u>	<u>84.1%</u>
<hr/>				
Net fee income	100.0%			100.0%
Direct labor	<u>31.2%</u>	40.3%	25.1%	<u>31.3%</u>
Gross margin	<u>68.8%</u>	74.9%	59.7%	<u>68.7%</u>
Indirect payroll	21.7%	31.3%	10.9%	22.9%
Staff bonuses	3.6%	14.0%	0.4%	3.8%
Employee and fringe benefits	11.7%	16.6%	5.3%	12.1%
Rent and utilities	4.4%	6.4%	0.9%	4.3%
Other indirect	<u>12.7%</u>	21.7%	9.4%	<u>9.9%</u>
Total	<u>54.1%</u>	68.1%	32.9%	<u>53.0%</u>
Profit from operations	<u>14.7%</u>	<u>28.8%</u>	<u>1.0%</u>	<u>15.7%</u>



# Overhead Rates Per Direct Hour


The overhead rate per direct hour equals total indirect expenses divided by direct labor hours. It represents the amount of overhead a firm is sustaining in order to support its volume of business.

	Study Average	
	2024	2023
<b>Labor</b>		
Indirect labor	\$35.52	\$34.91
Staff bonuses	<u>5.33</u>	<u>4.91</u>
	<u>40.85</u>	<u>39.82</u>
<b>Employee and fringe benefits</b>		
Employee and fringe benefits, other	0.36	0.16
Insurance, health	9.42	9.30
Retirement plan contribution	3.03	3.33
Severance	0.04	0.03
Taxes, payroll	<u>6.85</u>	<u>6.72</u>
	<u>19.70</u>	<u>19.54</u>
<b>Bid &amp; proposal and marketing</b>	<u>0.62</u>	<u>0.49</u>
<b>Depreciation and amortization</b>	<u>1.84</u>	<u>1.87</u>
<b>Insurance, professional liability and other</b>		
Insurance, other	0.22	0.20
Officers' life and disability insurance	0.08	0.11
Professional liability insurance	1.44	1.39
Workers' compensation	<u>0.16</u>	<u>0.16</u>
	<u>1.90</u>	<u>1.86</u>
<b>Occupancy costs</b>		
Rent	6.20	5.87
Utilities	<u>0.31</u>	<u>0.33</u>
	<u>\$6.51</u>	<u>\$6.20</u>



## Overhead Rates Per Direct Hour (continued)

	Study Average	
	2024	2023
<b>Other indirect</b>		
Administrative service fees	\$0.14	\$0.18
Computer and IT	5.76	5.48
Contributions	0.09	0.07
Credit loss expense	0.20	0.35
Dues and subscriptions	0.63	0.66
Office supplies and expenses	1.50	1.48
Postage and shipping	0.08	0.07
Printing and reproduction	0.07	0.08
Professional fees	2.29	2.52
Recruiting	0.46	0.51
Repairs and maintenance	0.24	0.15
Seminars and conferences	0.45	0.31
Taxes, other	0.16	0.12
Telephone	0.57	0.59
Temporary employment and fees	0.10	0.04
Travel and entertainment	2.60	1.83
Expense recovery	(0.12)	(0.24)
Miscellaneous and rounding	<u>0.28</u>	<u>(1.13)</u>
	<u>15.50</u>	<u>13.07</u>
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$86.92</u>	<u>\$82.85</u>



# Components of the Overhead Rate


The overhead rate percentage equals indirect expenses divided by direct labor cost.

The following chart shows how each indirect expense category contributes to the overall overhead rate.

	Study Average	
	2024	2023
<b>Labor</b>		
Indirect labor	71.6%	71.9%
Staff bonuses	<u>10.5%</u>	<u>9.9%</u>
	<u>82.1%</u>	<u>81.8%</u>
<b>Employee and fringe benefits</b>		
Employee and fringe benefits, other	0.9%	0.4%
Insurance, health	18.5%	19.1%
Retirement plan contribution	7.3%	7.8%
Severance	0.1%	0.1%
Taxes, payroll	<u>14.3%</u>	<u>14.2%</u>
	<u>41.1%</u>	<u>41.6%</u>
<b>Bid &amp; proposal and marketing</b>		
	<u>1.4%</u>	<u>1.5%</u>
<b>Depreciation and amortization</b>		
	<u>5.2%</u>	<u>4.8%</u>
<b>Insurance, professional liability and other</b>		
Insurance, other	0.8%	0.7%
Officers' life and disability insurance	0.4%	0.4%
Professional liability insurance	3.1%	2.9%
Workers' compensation	<u>0.3%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>
	<u>4.6%</u>	<u>4.4%</u>
<b>Occupancy costs</b>		
Rent	12.7%	12.5%
Utilities	<u>0.6%</u>	<u>0.7%</u>
	<u>13.3%</u>	<u>13.2%</u>


## Components of the Overhead Rate (continued)

	Study Average	
	2024	2023
<b>Other indirect</b>		
Administrative service fees	0.3%	0.4%
Computer and IT	11.7%	11.0%
Contributions	0.2%	0.2%
Credit loss expense	0.5%	0.7%
Dues and subscriptions	1.2%	1.2%
Office supplies and expenses	2.9%	2.8%
Postage and shipping	0.2%	0.2%
Printing and reproduction	0.2%	0.2%
Professional fees	4.7%	4.2%
Recruiting	1.2%	1.2%
Repairs and maintenance	0.5%	0.4%
Seminars and conferences	1.2%	1.1%
Taxes, other	0.4%	0.5%
Telephone	1.2%	1.2%
Temporary employment and fees	0.1%	0.1%
Travel and entertainment	5.3%	4.1%
Expense recovery	-0.4%	-0.4%
Miscellaneous and rounding	<u>-0.5%</u>	<u>-1.1%</u>
	<u>30.9%</u>	<u>28.0%</u>
<b>Total</b>	<u>178.6%</u>	<u>175.3%</u>




# Balance Sheet Ratios

Current Ratio				
2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
2.69	3.35	2.85	2.47	2.55



The current ratio is calculated as current assets divided by current liabilities and is an indicator of a firm's ability to meet its current obligations.


Debt to Equity				
2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
0.78	0.64	0.87	1.38	1.44



The debt to equity ratio is calculated as total liabilities divided by equity. A high debt to equity ratio indicates that a firm has been aggressive in financing its operations with debt.


## Balance Sheet Ratios (continued)

Receivables as a Percentage of Gross Fee				
2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
21.7%	23.2%	23.3%	24.2%	22.7%



Receivables as a percentage of gross fee show the portion of the year's sales that remain uncollected as of the end of the year. A higher percentage can be due to the nature of a firm's customer base or collection issues.


Working Capital to Net Fee Income				
2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
28.1%	28.3%	26.1%	25.0%	23.6%



The working capital to net fee income ratio is calculated by dividing working capital (current assets less current liabilities) by net fee income. The ratio is an indicator of whether a firm has retained a sufficient level of capital and liquidity to fund its annual operations. It also helps to assess whether a firm can achieve growth without incurring additional debt.

## Balance Sheet Ratios (continued)

Days Fees in Accounts Receivable				
2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
79	77	76	79	80



Days fees in accounts receivable represent the average collection period for a firm's receivables.

### Key Takeaway

The average collection period for accounts receivable continued to increase in 2024 to 80 days — four days slower than the five-year low. Firms should monitor this ratio closely and understand the trend to maintain sufficient cash flow for operations.

# Our A&E Team

We leverage our expansive industry expertise to design solutions tailored to your firm. With unique specialization in the A&E industry, our experienced team of advisory, tax and financial statement specialists delivers strategic guidance aligned with your operational and growth objectives — supporting your continued success in today’s complex business environment.

Through our dedicated A&E University, we provide team members hands-on, industry-specific training throughout the year — reinforcing our commitment to delivering best-in-class service and timely strategic insights.



# Summit Highlights

We had the pleasure of gathering with you at our Architectural & Engineering Summit this June at The Langham, Boston. We enjoyed our valuable in-person discussions on the industry's growth and opportunities.

This year's program explored critical topics shaping the future of the A&E industry. Highlights included:

- The growing role of AI, challenges facing the U.S. and global economies, emerging economic risks and the evolving impact of public policy.
- An insightful economic and industry outlook from Dr. Anirban Basu, our featured keynote speaker.
- An engaging presentation by Partners David Sullivan and Nicholas Tamvaklis on key performance indicators and metrics leveraged by management teams to drive successful operations and make crucial strategic decisions.
- A forward-looking panel discussion featuring Joseph Bates of the ACEC Research Institute, Robert S. Belitz, President of Tighe & Bond and Michele Russo of The American Institute of Architects.



## Thank You!

Thank you to everyone who joined us for the 2025 Summit. Your enthusiasm as industry leaders and stakeholders was inspiring. We're confident that the connections you made, the expertise shared by our specialists across the A&E business lifecycle and the insights in this year's Study will support your long-term growth strategies.

## The Excitement Continues in 2026!

We look forward to next year's Summit and continuing to help your firm expand and flourish. Subscribe to our Architecture and Engineering e-newsletter at [pkfod.com](http://pkfod.com) to receive event invitations, studies and more!

# Our Architecture & Engineering Practice

## Specialized Industry Expertise | Collaboration and Commitment

At PKF O'Connor Davies, we speak your language and are keenly attuned to your operational needs. As trusted advisors to the architecture and engineering industry, this enables us to offer innovative solutions to help improve your firm's profitability and organizational value. Whether it's growing through acquisition, maximizing tax credits or leveraging fast-moving technologies, we have the specialized expertise and extensive resources to help you grow your business.

Our dedicated team of industry specialists offers customized solutions to help you proactively address challenges and explore opportunities. Our thought leadership and active participation in industry associations reflect our dedication to, and deep understanding of, the A&E industry.

## Services for the A&E Industry

### Tax Planning and Compliance

- Corporate, Partnership and Individual Tax Planning and Compliance
- Multi-State Taxation
- International Taxation
- Research and Development Tax Credits and Section 179D Tax Deductions
- Tax Credit Maximization and Tax Incentive Programs

### Advisory

- Ownership Transition and Succession Planning
- Business Valuation Advisory
- Deferred Compensation Plans and Section 409A Compliance
- Mergers and Acquisitions
- Benchmarking Financial Performance
- Project Management and Profitability Analysis
- Cybersecurity Assessments and Penetration Testing
- Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification (CMMC) Compliance
- ESG, Sustainability and Impact Optimization
- Cash Flow and Financial Forecasting
- ESOP Advisory Services
- Working Capital Reviews
- Development of Long-Term Tax Strategies
- Employee Benefit Consulting
- Design of Internal Control and Financial Reporting Systems
- FAR Compliance, Documentation Evaluation and Agency Audit Support

### Accounting and Assurance

- Agreed-Upon Procedures
- Employee Benefit Plan and ESOP Audits
- Financial Statement Audits, Reviews and Compilations
- Overhead Rate Audits in Accordance with FAR
- Outsourced CFO

To learn more about our A&E practice and how we can collaborate to help your organization achieve its objectives, please contact one of our A&E industry specialists or visit [pkfod.com](http://pkfod.com).

**JOIN  
US  
FOR  
GOOD**

Member FDIC

Eastern Bank is a proud supporter of

**PKF O'Connor Davies  
17<sup>th</sup> Annual Architectural  
and Engineering Summit**

---

Thank you for your work towards the  
greater **GOOD** of our community.

 **Eastern Bank**  
JOIN US FOR GOOD

